

# Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics

## F Holes and Bass Bar Effects on Plate Tuning

Anne Houssay  
*Drancy, France*

In this study, measuring the frequencies has been used as a tool to guide the working process of the next instrument being built.

While making the back and belly of an instrument of the violin family, can the maker anticipate what tuning is to be obtained on the finished front ?

Traditionally, one does not retouch from the inside the thicknesses of the table after the f holes and bass bar are done, in order to obtain the nice smooth and regular internal curve that is usually aimed for. That is why the maker must know in advance the effects the cutting of holes and the addition of bar will have on the frequencies of modes, in order to achieve the final tuning he wishes, between the modes of the front and with those of the back.

In that way, 3 violins, 4 violas and 3 cellos were measured during their making, between 1985 and 1990, with a very simple equipment, thanks to Carleen Hutchins's directions given in CAS NL #39, 1983.

Some conclusions are given on the influence of holes (position, shape and cutting) as well as of the bass bar (gluing and shaping), on the tuning of the instrument's table.

This study has been conducted in the course of making the following 10 instruments: violins n°6, 7 and 8, violas n°2, 3, 4 and 5 and cellos n°1, 3 and 4. Cello n°1, made in 1981 has in fact had a second front made for which was built after cello 3 & 4. The musician playing it had a bus accident and the front had been severely damaged, needing replacement.

The method used is the one indicated by Carleen Hutchins to measure modes 1, 2 and 5 with the help of a frequency generator, a high speaker, and we used powdered sugar or copper sulphate to visualize the modal lines, thus checking which mode we were up to. The shapes were very predictable and regular with traditional archings and thicknesses coherent and symmetrical as Sacconi describes them.

The goal we were aiming for was the evaluation of the effects of F holes (FF) and bass bar (BB) on those 3 modes, to anticipate the change of frequency they were going to imply, while working the thicknesses on the next instrument.


As a matter of fact, the process of making the instruments was made in the following order:

1. making the ribs
2. cutting the outline of back and belly from the ribs's shape
3. carving the outside archings of both plates and insert the purfling
4. carving the inside of back and belly and thickness them to a certain tuning
5. cutting f holes
6. gluing and shaping bass bar
7. getting the final tuning between back and front.

# Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics

To obtain the regular inside curve of the instrument, this order should be kept without touching the table's thicknesses after stage 4, otherwise one cannot control any more the shape of the internal volume of the front.

transverse section:



Moreover, to change frequencies significantly after FF and BB means scraping wood in an irregular manner, wich damages the FF, and leaves dirty ridges of wood along the bass bar and at its end. That does leave irregular stiffness points and the maker knows that empirically.

We have measured the frequencies of modes 1, 2, 5 before, after and during stages 5 (FF) and 6 (BB) of the working process:

instrument	belly without ff and bb			belly with ff cut			belly with ff and bb		
	1	2	5	1	2	5	1	2	5
violin n° 6	65	182	389	92	170	333	93	175	372
violin n° 7	90	170	356	82	160	307	90	175	353
violin n° 8	86	164	342	79	153	304	86	162	349
viola n° 2	83	122	297	68	115	271	75	132	301
viola n° 3	63	123	279	58	116	239	64	128	277
viola n° 4	62	107	253	56	102	229	68	115	265
viola n° 5	68	113	254	60	104	218	71	111	256
cello n° 3	29	52	117	27	51	102	32	59	126
cello n° 4	30	53	117	27	52	103	31	63	122
cello n° 1	36	57	141	32	53	117	37	62	143

If one consider Carleen Hutchins's proposal of being within 1 to 4 % to consider to be « in tune » or « equal » in frequency, one sees here that the differences are quite subtle between the instruments, wich are made on the same mould (except for violin n° 6) and with close archings for each category.

Comparison of the effect of ff and of the cumulated effect of ff + bb tells us more:

# Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics

instrument	belly without ff and bb			effect of ff			effect of ff + bb		
	1	2	5	1	2	5	1	2	5
violin n° 6	65	182	389	27	-12	-56	28	-7	-17
violin n° 7	90	170	356	-8	-10	-49	0	5	-3
violin n° 8	86	164	342	-7	-11	-38	0	-2	7
viola n° 2	83	122	297	-15	-7	-26	-8	10	4
viola n° 3	63	123	279	-5	-7	-40	1	5	-2
viola n° 4	62	107	253	-6	-5	-24	6	8	12
viola n° 5	68	113	254	-8	-9	-36	3	-2	2
cello n° 3	29	52	117	-2	-1	-15	3	7	9
cello n° 4	30	53	117	-3	-1	-14	1	10	5
cello n° 1	36	57	141	-4	-4	-24	1	5	2

The weight of the plate was recorded at each step:

instrument	weights of the front in grams			weight in grams of:		
	plain	with ff	with bb	ff	bb	ff + bb
violin n° 6	92	88	90	4	2	-2
violin n° 7	76	73	79	3	6	3
violin n° 8	72	71	75	1	4	3
viola n° 2	106	105	112	1	7	6
viola n° 3	103	100	107	3	7	4
viola n° 4	89	85	92	4	7	3
viola n° 5	88	87	94	1	7	6
cello n° 3	513		480			-33
cello n° 4	490	485	517	5	32	27
cello n° 1	565		592			27

For violin n°6, the front was pretty heavy to start with, having been left thicker and heavier. The consequence was that the cutting of holes took 5 g of wood off. The back had a fifth mode tuned at 350 Hz, and to get the table closer, the bar has been thinned down up to 2 g, without going lower than 372 Hz. The bar has been worked a lot sideways and in its curvature to avoid to affect too much its strength, but the result was absurd with a weight of 2 g and not the right tuning. One could conclude here that FF and BB could'nt compensate for that table which was too stiff in the fifth mode to have it an octave higher than the second mode.

## Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics

In this instrument, the ff holes had the effect of lowering the second mode by 12 Hz and the bar had raised it by 5, giving at the end the 175 Hz that were wanted: The tuning of mode 2 with the back was obtained, which gives a easy response on the finished instrument. Was it possible to get the same result on the next violin n° 7 if we were going to thin down the table to get the fifth mode an octave apart ? What frequency was going to have mode 1 ?

For violin n°7, it was decided to lower the 5th mode to 356 Hz before the ff holes would be cut, knowing that it had been possible to lower it down 56 Hz with ff and go up 39 Hz with a very small bar in violin n° 6.

At the same time, a detailed study of the cutting of f holes of violin n° 7 was then undertaken in order to understand how their shape and position influences the rigidity of the table:

stage of work	weight	mode 1	mode 2	mode 5
<b>before ff and bb</b>	<b>76 g</b>	<b>90</b>	<b>170</b>	<b>355</b>
drilled top holes at diameter 5.5mm	id	90	170	362
drilled bottom holes at diameter 5.5 mm	id	90	171	359
<b>fine saw cut from top to bottom hole left</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>167</b>	<b>330</b>
<b>fine saw cut from top to bottom hole right</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>314</b>
opening arms tow. bridge: 74 between ff	74	83	162	315
open. top holes up diagonally tow. centre	74	83	163	316
<b>open. bott. holes down diag. tow. outside</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>312</b>
widening the bottom holes tow. wing	73	83	163	312
<b>opening the bottom holes tow. the CC</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>312</b>
<b>widening the arms towards the CC</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>309</b>
last opening of arm towards bridge 1 mm	73	83	160	310
finishing upper curve of top holes	73	82	160	309
<b>finishing bottom hole</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>82</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>308</b>

Nearly all the flexibility is given with a very fine sawcut made by a jeweller's saw from top to bottom of the Fs. It is useful to note that the opening of the arms do not affect the tuning, so it gives the chance to tune the helmholtz resonance of the body in widening the f holes, without the fear of giving too much flexibility to the table. It must be understood that the FF were positioned with the outside line of the arms lying along outside arching's level lines, and that line was sawn.

The experience on violin n°7 was worthwhile: the balance in mode 5 between ff holes and bb giving a lowering of 49 Hz followed by a highering of 46 HZ. The tuning at 353 Hz can be considered as a success ! Second mode was an octave lower at 175 Hz ( note F ) and mode one between F and F sharp.

For cello n° 4, one first tried to compensate completely the effects of ff with the bass bar. The table was thinned down to the following tunins and the work was recorded:

# Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics

stage of work	weight	mode 1	mode 2	mode 5
before ff and bb	565	30	53	117
with ff cut		27	52	103
with bb glued, not shaped	627	34	67	139
bb finished	592	31	63	122
reworked thicknesses (should'nt be done)	545	29	56	118
bar lowered	542	29	56	116
reworked thicknesses for lowering mode 2	528	29	54	114
lowered bar	522	28	53	112
varnished instrument: table taken off		29	58	119
bass bar taken off		24	48	96
new bar not shaped	534	31	59	128
new bar shaped	529	30	58	125
3rd bar shaped	530	29	58	123

While working the back, one did not succeed in having the aimed frequencies: (mode 2: 55 Hz, mode 5: 119Hz). At that point, it was decided at least to tune the second mode, which gives an easy response, and Carleen Hutchins proposes it as a priority.

One sees the difficulties to get the right tuning when the tuning is not right before ff and bb.

The reworking was meant to lower mode 2, but we went too far in that direction, the mode 5 became too low and we had to take the front off the finished instrument to change the bar. We then could see the effect of the dried varnish on the modes. With the new bar, we did not try any more to get the fifth mode to the same tuning as the back. At the end of the same year a sinking of the table occurred and the bar was changed again, with a bigger tension when it was glued. Mode 2 and 5 are a semi-tone apart.

One can see that whatever effort is made to use the shaping of the bar to affect the tuning, it is always the fifth mode that goes down quite a lot, because of the situation of the bar on nodal line of the belly. It was tried to do first a final height at the center of the bar, measuring the modes, and then shaping progressively the arms: What happened is that mode 1 and 2 were practically unmoved (2 Hz during the whole process), while mode 5 was lowered progressively.

For cello n° 1, the work of ff holes 1 was also studied. The tuning of the back, still on the instrument, was recorded to be C (Ideally 65 / 130 Hz but it had been tuned by ear). So one planned to tune the table to that note. But the front was too stiff in mode 5 and was reworked after ff and bb were finished. Mode 2 ended up « in tune » with the back at 62 Hz, but the fifth mode was more than a semi-tone higher than the back's.

# Proceedings of the Institute of Acoustics

stage of work	weight	mode 1	mode 2	mode 5
before ff and bb	513 g	36	57	141
drilled bottom holes at diameter 6.5 mm	id	36	59	141
drilled top holes at diameter 6.5mm	512	36	59	141
fine saw cut from top to bottom hole left	512	35	58	135
fine saw cut from top to bottom hole right	512	34	58	131
opening arms tow. bridge: 157 between ff	507	34	58	131
hollowed the bottom wing from outside	505	34	58	131
open bottom holes	502	34	57	129
joining bottom holes to arms	501	33	57	129
finished top holes and joints with the arms	500	34	56	128
thicknesses reworked		32	53	117
after ff and bb		37	62	143

For viola n°5 and violin n°8, an expected effect on the tuning of ff and bb was aimed for, a simple average of what as measured on the preceeding instruments of the same type.

instrument	aims with ff and bb			bb:expected effect			ff: expected effect			plain table tuning		
	1	2	5	1	2	5	1	2	5	1	2	5
violin n° 8	82	165	330	8	18	50	-8	-10	-50	82	167	330
viola n° 5	55	110	220	14	15	55	-5	-6	-35	64	101	200

The tables weres tuned at stage 4 and not retouched. For the viola, the tuning of mode 5 at 220 Hz was impossible with that model (41 cm long, and pretty narrow). It may be worth trying around 65 / 130 / 260 Hz. The plain table tuning started up at 67 / 111 / 256 Hz for a final tuning at 71 / 111 / 256 to match the back on its fifth mode: 84 / 127 / 258.

The violin started with 85 / 164 / 344 before ff and bb. It got closed to what was wanted with modes 2 at 162 Hz for both plates (E), mode 5 at 340 Hz in the front and 326 Hz in the back (between Eb and E#), and mode 1 was at 86 Hz in the belly (below E#).

**In conclusion,** we succeeded to foresee a tuning on violins, but violas and cellos were not as predictable. Their models are more variable and the notes wanted may have been too low for their sizes. The ff holes give flexibility to what the makers call the « pump effect » (mode 5) 4 to 5 times more than the lateral bouncing (mode 2), and that, more in their length and diagonal cutting of the arching than in their width. In violins n° 7 and 8 and in violas n° 3 and 5, ff and bb have nearly been able to compensate each other's changes of tune of modes 2 and 5. In cellos, the ff holes practically have'nt affected the mode 2, while the bb sometimes doubles the frequency the fifth mode had lost with the ffs. A reasonable choice in the height and shaping of the bar can affect around 3 to 4 times more mode 5 than mode 2.