ULTRASONIC SCATTERING FROM CYLINDRICAL AND SPHERICAL SHELLS

N.D. Veksler

Department of Mechanics, Institute of Cybernetics, Estonian Acad. Sci., Akadeemia tee 21, 200108 Tallinn, USSR

1. INTRODUCTION

Let us consider a thin empty spherical shell submerged in a boundless fluid medium. The plane harmonic pressure wave strikes the shell and is scattered by it. The motion of the shell is described by the equations of linear elasticity theory, and that of the liquid is governed by the Helmholtz equation. It is a two-dimensional steady-state problem. The secondary field of acoustic pressure, which is scattered by the shell, is analyzed. Using the method of separation of variables, one can obtain the exact solution in a series form [1]. It is valid for arbitrary values of spherical coordinates r, θ . For the sake of simplicity we shall examine the pressure at a fixed point of observation, situated in the Farfield of the backscattering (r/a = 10^4 , θ = π). We shall consider a typical case in hydroelasticity, the case of an aluminium shell immersed in water: water: $e_1 = 2.79 \cdot 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$, $c_{\ell} = 6380 \text{ m/s}$. $c_{t} = 3100 \text{ m/s}$, water: $e_1 = 1.10^3 \text{ kg/m}^2$, $c_2 = 1470$.

The relative thickness of the shell h = 1-b/a = 1/32. calculation is carried out in the range $0 \le x = ka \le 400$ with the computation step $l_{\rm x}$ = 10/256. Here, a and b are the outer and inner radii of the shell, respectively; $k = \omega/c$ is the wave number in the liquid. Qualitatively, the form function is similar to that presented in [2, Fig.1b]. The plots of the resonance components of the partial modes are computed. The single-type resonances are joined into families. In the considered range, the incident wave generates in the shell the following peripheral waves: A, S₀, A₁, S₁. At bigger values of x, the Lamb-type waves of higher orders S₁ and A₁ (1 = 2,3,4,...) will be generated. For a more thick-walled shell, say at h $\sim 1/10$, the incident wave will also generate the An wave.

2. RESULTS

The extrema of the form function curve correspond to the resonance frequencies of the partial modes. As a rule, the resonances of peripheral waves with high Q-factor or amplitude are well-observed on the form function curve. When the

ULTRASONIC SCATTERING FROM CYLINDRICAL AND SPHERICAL SHELLS

resonance has both of these properties, its chance of being distinguished on the form function is enhanced. Usually, as frequency increases, the form function curve becomes more discontinuous (cut). This becomes particularly apparent in the vicinity of the cut-off frequencies of the Lamb-type waves, after which the resonances of the newly generated wave can be observed.

The resonances of the bending wave A can be clearly observed in the strong bending domain. In the example considered, this is the range 20 < x < 60. The resonances with 33 < n < 61 are the most clearly observable ones. The influence of the S_0 wave can be observed in the range of 0 < x < 210 (at $0 \le n \le 59$), i.e. up to the cut-off frequency of the A_1 wave (x = 212.11). the successive resonances of this wave can be observed only in superposition with the A_1 wave resonances. At small n (n < 30), the latter have a small amplitude, but possess a rather high Q-factor. One can see the influence decreases as x and n increase. At x > 385, one can see on the form function curve a high and broad "splash". It is caused by the resonances of the S_1 wave. The cut-off frequency of this wave is x = 423.75. With n increasing, the first 50 resonance frequencies of this wave move along the x-axis from the right to the left, and the succeeding ones, as usual, from the left to the right. On the form function curve, the influence of the resonances of this wave can be marked up to the cut-off frequency of the S_2 wave (x = 436.37).

We shall use the following notation in the description of the modal resonances: \mathbf{x}_n is the resonance frequency, $\mathbf{\zeta}_n$ is the amplitude of the modal resonance component at the resonance frequency, \mathbf{q}_n is the width (on the x-axis) of the partial mode resonance curve at its half-amplitude level. The smaller \mathbf{q}_n is, the higher is the Q-factor.

The S₀ wave. Generally speaking, with n increasing, the resonance amplitude slowly grows, changing from ζ_{1} =0.9139 to ζ_{100} = 1.3312. At 1 < n < 15, with n increasing, the Q-factor quickly grows; after this, at 15 < n < 75, it slowly decreases. The Q-factor, for example, may be characterized with q_{100} = 21.6.

The A wave. At 26 < n < 36, with n increasing, the resonance amplitude quickly grows from $\zeta_{26}=0.0065$ to $\zeta_{36}=4.7035$. At n > 36, the amplitude decreases slowly to $\zeta_{51}=4.1104$. The Q-factor changes in the same way. The procedure of resonance scattering theory allows us to separate the resonances only for n \leq 48.

ULTRASONIC SCATTERING FROM CYLINDRICAL AND SPHERICAL SHELLS

The A₄ wave. With n increasing, the resonance amplitude slowly grows from $\zeta_1 = 0.0042$ to $\zeta_{100} = 0.9770$. At 1 < n < 20, with n increasing, the Q-factor is almost constant. With further increase in n, it slowly decreases: $q_{60} = 6.4$, $q_{80} = 9.2$, $q_{100} = 11.6$.

The S_1 wave. With n increasing, the resonance amplitude slowly grows from $\zeta_1 = 0.0142$ to $\zeta_{98} = 0.8682$. The Q-factor is small at n < 12. With n increasing, it grows: $q_{40} = 14$, $q_{60} = 11$, $q_{80} = 5.4$.

The resonance frequencies and amplitudes of the partial modes are given in Tables 1 and 2. We did not round-off here the resonance positions, and give them with two significant digits after the decimal point for the purpose of actual comparison, although the computation step is $\ell_{\rm w}=10/256$.

It s easy to find the phase and group velocities of a peripheral wave when the position of the resonance is known. The resonance frequency of a peripheral (running) wave coincides with the resonance frequency of a partial mode (standing wave). The resonance takes place when exactly (n+1/2) wavelengths fit the meridian circle length.

$$2Ta = (n+1/2)\lambda_{n1}. \tag{1}$$

Here n defines the ordinal number of resonance and determines its family (the type of the peripheral waves). From condition (1) the phase c^{ph} and group c^{gr} velocities may be found

$$c^{ph}(x_{n1}) = cx_{n1}/(n+1/2), \quad c^{gr}(x_{n1}) = c(x_{(n+1)1}-x_{n1}).$$
 (2)

The dispersion curves of the peripheral waves generated in shells of different thicknesses can be compared when the dependence y(z) is calculated. Here y and z are nondimensional values

$$y = c^{ph}/c_t, \quad z = k_t d \tag{3}$$

where c_t is the velocity of the transverse wave in the linear elasticity theory, z=(1/2) (c/c_t)hx, $k_t=\omega/c_t$ and d=(1/2) (a-b). On the z-y plane the resonances of some other order n but for different dispersion curves 1, are situated on lines which pass through the origin. The angle between the line corresponding to the n-th resonance and the z-axis is arctan 2 $[(n+1/2)h]^{-1}$.

The resonance frequencies of partial modes can be found approximately from the dispersion equations of model problems,

ULTRASONIC SCATTERING FROM CYLINDRICAL AND SPHERICAL SHELLS

namely, describing Lamb-type waves in a plane elastic layer. For the S_0 and S_1 waves they are found from equation $E=0[4,eqn\ 29]$, and for the A_1 wave from the equation $F=0[4,eqn\ 29]$. In these equations instead of y, the relation

$$y_* = 2(1-h/2) z [(n+1/2)h]^{-1}$$
 (4)

should be used. (In the model problem, it is assumed that the S_0 , S_1 and A_1 waves are propagating on the middle surface of the shell). For the A wave, we should consider the problem concerning the waves in a plane elastic layer, one side of which is in contact with the liquid and the other is free, as the model problem. The resonance frequencies are found from the equation $E(F+\Psi) + F(E+\Psi) = 0$ [4, eqn 28] in which, instead of y (3), the relation

$$y_* = 2z [(n+1/2)h]^{-1}$$
 (5)

should be introduced. (In the model problem, it is supposed that the A wave is propagating on the surface separating the elastic body and the liquid.) In contrast with the model problems concerning waves in a plane layer, here the dispersion equations must be solved for every n (n = 1,2,3,...) value. The resonance frequencies found from the dispersion equations for model problems are determined as x_{n1} * and presented in Tables 1 and 2. There they are denoted by x_{n} * because 1 is evident from the table caption. The comparison of the results shows that at not very small values of n, the coincidence is rather good. Qualitatively it can be described as: the long wave ($\lambda \sim 4a$) strongly "feels" the curvature of the scatterer; the wave with wavelength comparable with the typical size of the scatterer ($\lambda \sim a$) is not very "sensitive" to the curvatures; the short wave ($\lambda \sim a/2$) is almost not sensitive to the curvature. For small n the accuracy of determination of the resonance frequencies can be improved by using the procedure outlined in [5,6]. In the case of the A wave, which is generated in the shell by the incident wave, over a rather narrow x range and at low x values, the analytic formulae of resonance scattering theory may be used [3].

The acoustic spectrogramme can be constructed using the resonance frequencies of the partial modes. In [7-9] a proposal is made to use it as an acoustic signature. Although direct methods of determining the resonance frequencies have been elaborated [10-15], not all the resonances are apparent on the form function. Particularly, this is related to resonances with low Q-factors, which overlap in frequency. As a rule, the neighbouring resonances are in anti-phase. The amplitude of superposition of resonances with low Q-factors is smaller, and

ULTRASONIC SCATTERING FROM CYLINDRICAL AND SPHERICAL SHELLS

sometimes essentially smaller than every summand. The extrema of this superposition do not coincide with the resonance frequencies. In such a case the extrema of the form function curve are also shifted with respect to the resonance frequencies. Sometimes, this shift attains $(1/2)(x_{n+1}-x_n)$. In the example considered, the S_0 wave has these properties at 35 < n < 70, the A_1 wave at 25 < n < 95, and the S_1 wave at 1 < n < 80.

3. CYLINDRICAL SHELLS

The scattering process is similar to that described above when the plane acoustic wave strikes a circular cylindrical shell at normal incidence. In this case the resonance amplitudes of partial modes are considerably smaller, because in the cylindrical case the source is a point, not a circle. The formulae (1)-(5) are valid, but n should be inserted into them instead of (n+1/2). In the spherical case the extra 1/2 in (n+1/2) is caused by focussing. Approximate asymptotic formulae for the positions of the resonance frequencies at small values of n are given in [6]. They are checked when scattering on a cylindrical shell with h = 1/10 is considered.

When a plane acoustic wave falls on circular cylindrical shell at oblique incidence, the phase velocity of the peripheral wave can be found from

$$c^{ph}(x_{n1}) = c(x_{n1}/n) \cdot (1 + [(x_{n1}/n)\sin \alpha]^2)^{-1/2}$$
 (6)

which follows from evident equality

$$(w_{n1}/c^{ph})^2 = [(w_{n1}/c)\sin \propto]^2 + (n/a)^2.$$
 (7)

Here α is an angle between the direction of the incident wave propagation, and the normal to the longitudinal axis of the shell.

At oblique incidence, the estimation of the positions of the resonance frequencies of the peripheral waves S_0 , S_1 and A_1 can be found rather exactly from the dispersion equations of the Lamb-type waves in a plane "dry" layer [16]. These equations may be obtained from the equations E=0 and F=0, respectively, by changing y for y_0 , where

$$y_0 = (a_1 [1 + (a_2 nh/a_1 z)^2])^{-1}$$
 (8)

$$a_1 = (c_t/c) \sin \alpha, \quad a_2 = (1+b/a)^{-1}.$$
 (9)

In the case of the A wave, the estimation of the resonance

ULTRASONIC SCATTERING FROM CYLINDRICAL AND SPHERICAL SHELLS

frequencies' positions can be found from the equation $E(F+\psi) + F(E+\psi) = 0$ in which y_0 (9) is inserted with $a_2 = 1$.

The obliquely incident wave also generates shear peripheral waves T_1 (1 = 0,1,2,...) in a cylindrical shell. The resonance frequencies of these waves can be found approximately from the equations

$$shp_{t} = 0, \quad chp_{t} = 0 \tag{10}$$

for the symmetric and antisymmetric waves, respectively. In equations (10) the notation $p_t = (z/y_0) (1-y_0^2)^{1/2}$ is used.

The resonance frequencies of the ${\bf T}_{\bf 0}$ wave are given by the formula

$$z_n = a_2 nh(1-a_1^2)^{-1/2},$$
 (11)

and those of the T wave by the formula

$$z_n = (\pi/2) \left(\left[1 + \left[(2/\pi) a_2 nh \right]^2 \right] \cdot (1 - a_1^2)^{-1} \right)^{1/2}.$$
 (12)

The comparison of the exact (computed according to the procedure of resonance scattering theory) and the approximate values of the resonance frequencies shows the efficiency of the proposed formulae for the S_0 , A, A_1 , T_0 and T_1 waves [16] in the case of scattering by an aluminium shell with h=1/32 immersed in water at three different angles of incidence: 0° , 5° and 10° .

On the z-y plane, both for shells with h =1/32 and thicker shells with h = 1/10, the dispersion curves of the phase velocities of the peripheral waves practically coincide for spherical and cylindrical (in case of normal incidence) shells. They do not differ from the corresponding dispersion curves in the case of a plane layer. This concerns both the domains of the dispersion curves at small n values (in the vicinity of the cut-off frequencies) and the points of intersection of the dispersion curves of the peripheral waves S_{i} and A_{i} (i = 2,3,4).

4. REFERENCES

- Goodman R.R., & Stern, R. Reflection and Transmission of sound by Elastic Spherical Shells. J.Acoust.Soc.Amer.34 (1962) 338.
- Talmant M., Uberall H., Miller R.D., Werby M.F., & Dickey J.W. Lamb Waves and Fluid-Borne Waves on Water-

ULTRASONIC SCATTERING FROM CYLINDRICAL AND SPHERICAL SHELLS

- Loaded, Air-Filled Thin Spherical Shells. J.Acoust.Soc.Amer. 86 (1989) 278.
- 3. Flax L., Dragonette L.R., & Uberall H. Theory of Elastic Resonance Excitation by Sound Scattering. J.Acoust.Soc.Amer, 63 (1978) 723.
- Veksler N.D. The Analysis of Peripheral Waves in the Problem of Plane Acoustic Wave Scattering by a Circular Cylindrical Shell. Acustica, 69 (1989) 63.
- Kaplunov J.D. On integration of Equations of a Dynamic Bending Layer. Trans. of USSR Acad.Sci., Mekh. Tverd. Tela, 1990 (in press, in Russian).
- Veksler N.D., Kaplunov J.D., & Korsunskii V.M. Asymptotic Formulas for Resonance Frequencies in Case of Scattering of Acoustic Wave on Cylindrical Shell at Normal Incidence. Sov. Physics. - Acoustics. 36 (1990) (in press, in Russian).
- 7. Neubauer W.G., Vogt R.H., & Dragonette L.R. Acoustic Reflection from Elastic Spheres. I. Steady-State Signals. J.Soc.Acoust.Amer. 55 (1974) 1123.
- 8. Gaunaurd G.C., & Brill D. Acoustic Spectrogram and Complex-Frequency Poles of a Resonantly Excited Elastic Tube. J.Acoust.Soc.Amer. 75 (1984) 1680.
- Gaunaurd G.C. Elastic and Acoustic Resonance Wave Scattering. Appl. Mech. Rev. 42 (1989) 143.
- 10. Maze G., & Ripoche J. Méthode D'isolement et D'identification des résonances (M.I.I.R.) de Cylindres et de Tubes Soumis à Une Onde Acoustique plane Dans L'eau. Revue Phys.Appl. 18 (1983) 319.
- Maze G., Izbicki J.L., & Ripoche J. Resonances of Plates and Cylinders: Guided Waves. J. Acoustic. Soc. Amer. 77 (1985) 1352.
- 12. De Billy M. Determination of the Resonance Spectrum of Elastic Bodies via the Use of Short Pulses and Fourier Transform Theory. J.Acoust.Soc.Amer. 79 (1986) 219.
- 13. De Billy M., & Molinero I. On the Nonobservance of Nonspecular Bounded Beam Reflection Effect of Lamb Modes. J.Acoust.Soc.Amer. 83 (1988) 1249.

ULTRASONIC SCATTERING FROM CYLINDRICAL AND SPHERICAL SHELLS

- 14. Gerard A., Rousselot J.L., Izbicki J.L., Maze G., & Ripoche J. Resonances d'Ondes d'Interface de Coques Cylindriques Minces Immergées: Determination et Interpretation. Revue Phys.Appl. 23 (1988) 289.
- 15. Pareige P., Rembert P., Izbicki J.L., Maze G., & Ripoche J. Methode Impulsionelle Numerisee (MIN) pour l'Isolement et l'Identification des Resonances de Tubes Immerges. Phys.Lett. A. 135 (1989) 143.
- Veksler N.D., & Kaplunov J.D. Peripheral Waves in Cylindrical Shells Immersed in Water. Acustica (in press).

TABLE 1

A					s _o			
n	3n	×n	x _n *	n	ζn	×n	×n*	
31	1.2321	23.87		1	0.9139	6.25	5.61	
32	0.6392	25.20	24.69	2	0.9947	10.00	9.36	
33	1.1969	26.48	26.03	3	1.0285	13.59	13.11	
34	2.3386	27.81	27.38	4	1.0441	17.23	16.84	
35	3.7359	29.14	28.74	5	1.0524	20.50	20.59	
36	4.7035	30.47	30.11		1.0474	24.61	24.33	
37	4.5803			7		28.28	28.07	
	4.6195			-	1.0606	31.99	31.81	
	4.5477			9	1.0610	35.70	35.54	
40				10	1.0177	39.41	39.27	
41					1.0454	76.41	76.38	
	4.4499				1.0800	112.89	112.89	
	4.4102				1.0931		148.38	
	4.3731					182.19		
. –	4.3378			60	1.1323	213.71	213.85	
	4.3054					241.91		
	4.2797					266.02		
	4.2437		_	90		285.74	285.96	
	4.2099			100	1.3312	301.91	302.12	
50	4.1687	48.32	48.34					

ULTRASONIC SCATTERING FROM CYLINDRICAL AND SPHERICAL SHELLS

TABLE 2

A ₁		s ₁				
n Z _n × _n	×n*	n	ζ_n	x_n	×n*	
1 0.0042 212.11	212.09	1	0.0142	423.75	423.69	
2 0.0204 212.27	212.25	2	0.0523	420.70	420.68	
3 0.0486 212.50	212.48	10	0.1011	415.51	415.49	
4 0.0780 212.81	212.79	15	0.1511	410.31	410.31	
	213.17	20	0.2022	405.51	405.53	
6 0.1215 213.67		25		401.25		
7 0.1369 214.22				397.66		
8 0.1574 214.80	214.78	3,5	0.3597	394.73	394.78	
9 0.1750 215.51	215.46	40		392.62		
10 0.1942 216.25			0.4650			
20 0.3606 227.34		-	0.5166			
30 0.5004 243.79			0.5667			
40 0.6137 263.98			0.6148			
50 0.7047 286.64			0.6602			
60 0.7785 310.82			0.7026			
70 0.8395 335.90			0.7412			
80 0.8913 361.29			0.7759			
90 0.9364 386.56			0.8065			
100 0.9770 411.41	411.64	90	0.8331	434.53		
		95	0.8560	446.25	445.95	