CONTENT GENERATION FOR SAS CHANGE DETECTION SIMULATIONS

SF Johnson  The Pennsylvania State University

1 INTRODUCTION

Synthetic Aperture Sonar (SAS) based Change Detection requires estimating and accounting for differences in the navigation track between base and repeat pass collections. In dynamic environments, there are also changes of the seabed because of hydrodynamic and/or biologic activity, which can mask detection of the intended anthropogenic activity. Combined, these uncertainties make the use of collected data challenging for purposes such as validation of signal processing techniques and study of the spatiotemporal coherence of the scattered acoustic field. In this paper we describe the development of a dataset specifically generated for such assessments leveraging the prescriptive nature of simulation.

Content generation is a topic which has received substantive investigation, with significant advances made in the fields of computer-generated imagery (CGI), and both recreational and “serious” gaming. Techniques used to generate and combine seabed textures, such as hydrodynamically-generated sand ripples, biotubatively-generated fish pits, and power-law roughness, will be described. To represent the evolving nature of the seabed in dynamic environments, a model for horizontal diffusion was employed.

Two evolving seabed scenes were generated, with several collection aspects. For each of these combinations four sets of acoustic time-series data and reconstructed imagery were generated: an initial pass for a base image, an easy case with just a handful of localized disturbances, a medium case also including diffusive sand ripples, and a hard case also including a collection geometry mismatch. These sets of data are intended to test SAS reconstruction techniques, change detection techniques, and data/registration techniques. The process of developing these SAS datasets can be thought of in several discrete steps:

- Relief Generation (i.e. generating the "world" that the sonar is operated in),
- Acoustic Rendering (i.e. calculating the sonar time series response of the "world"),
- Image Reconstruction (i.e. application of synthetic aperture techniques), and
- Image Processing (i.e. image registration, and change detection).

The focus here is the relief generation with Procedural and Declarative techniques and description of the
dataset applicable to SAS based Change Detection. The intermediary steps of acoustic rendering and image reconstruction will be discussed only briefly as there are myriad other papers on these topics. The image registration and change detection steps are left to the consumer to develop and demonstrate.

2 CONTENT GENERATION

2.1 Overview

For several decades, computer-generated imagery (CGI) has been utilized for generating or augmenting images and movies, relying on a combination of algorithmically or procedurally generated, along with manually or declaratively generated techniques. Perhaps the most notable improvement in the realism of procedurally generated content is often attributed to Perlin\(^1\) following his involvement in the 1982 movie Tron. Interestingly, Bell\(^2\) mentions “procedurally defined objects” in the 1997 paper on side-scan sonar simulation. Here we describe combining both procedural and declarative content generation techniques to develop realistic and representative environments as the basis for a simulated dataset intended to test several aspects of Synthetic Aperture Sonar based Change Detection.

2.2 Procedural Generation

Procedural approaches are those which are generated algorithmically, and are often driven by a stochastic component to promote variety and disrupt unnatural repetition. While certain algorithms are entirely heuristic, the more enticing and often realistic algorithms are based on models of physical processes. When procedural models are applied to textures, they can often be layered to create more heterogenous and interesting scenes. The upper limit of realism for procedural approaches is our ability to develop models for, and supporting parameterizations of, physical processes.
Seafloor relief has long been described as fractal, ranging from large scale bathymetry to small scale roughness where it is often described as power-law. This physical basis, supported by known parameterizations and application to acoustics, combined with algorithmic implementations in both spatial and wavenumber domains, make random fractal or power-law roughness texture a common starting point for use in sonar simulations.

To expand upon random roughness, several other models have been applied in a procedural approach. Tang proposed a rippled-sand texture model, which was applied to the generation of computationally efficient SAS-like imagery. The effect of bioturbation was modeled with horizontal diffusion, and utilized to simulate the decay of sand ripples and subsequent decorrelation of simulated SAS imagery. A spatial domain model for a fish-feeding pit was combined with the horizontal diffusion model to simulate production of a random roughness seafloor texture with a spectrum that is power-law-like attributable to bioturbation. These basis textures of random roughness, rippled-sand, bioturbation, and the process of horizontal diffusion serve as the procedural textures for this work.

### 2.3 Declarative Generation

Declarative approaches are those which are generated manually, and often rely on the expertise of the user to ensure realism. In the entertainment industry this is often an artist composing content, while in the education industry it may be by the participation of an instructor to manipulate content of a serious game in a pedagogical manner. Declarative approaches can also be utilized when either a physical model has not yet been identified, or is too difficult to be implemented procedurally.

Smelik proposed an approach where a designer layers procedurally generated textures in a prescribed manner with manually defined boundaries. This concept has been employed here with simple raster software to design arbitrary regions creating a semantic map with labels such as roughness, ripples, and bioturbation. Although manually designed, inspiration for the boundaries between regions was drawn from analysis of collected SAS imagery in order to capture natural heterogeneity between seafloor textures. The semantic map is then used to mask layers of procedurally-generated realizations of corresponding texture models.
3 DATASET GENERATION

3.1 Seafloor Relief Scenes

Two distinct seafloor relief scenes were synthesized with a combination of the procedural and declarative approaches described above. Each scene measured 125m by 125m and consisted of regions of random roughness, sand ripples, and 35-40 large fish pits ranging from 0.50-1.15m in diameter. For application to the Change Detection problem, the random roughness regions were held static while the sand ripples were either held static or allowed to evolve with horizontal diffusion, and an additional 7-9 fish pits ranging from 0.62-1.18m in diameter were placed to serve as the intended change to detect (n.b. these may not be detectible in both or either image because the SAS image, described in the next section, is a subset of the seabed relief scene).

3.2 Acoustic Rendering & Image Reconstruction Techniques

Previous work employed a computationally efficient approach to render seafloor relief maps utilizing pseudo-image SAS techniques\(^9,11,16\), which produces visually representative imagery capturing several hallmark traits of SAS and demonstrated expected repeat-pass decorrelation. However, several of the approximations preclude use of coherent image reconstruction, registration, and difference techniques important in the change detection process. In order to address this need, the seafloor relief scenes were rendered into acoustic time-series with The Point-based Sonar Signal Model (PoSSM)\(^17,18\). The SAS sensor described by Bellettini\(^19\) and Pinto\(^20\) was modeled with representative acoustic signal, transmit and receive beampatterns, and array spacing. The acoustic time series were coherently reconstructed into synthetic aperture imagery with standard techniques\(^21,22\) to create 50m along-track by 40-150m slant range complex images.
Figure 4: Example SAS imagery of Scene 1(b).

Figure 5: Example SAS imagery of Scene 2(a).
Figure 6: Example Change Detection solutions for Scene 1(b).

Figure 7: Example Change Detection solutions for Scene 2(a).
3.3 Dataset Description

Two orthogonal passes of the sonar vehicle were made for each scene for a total of 4 reference or baseline images for “ReferencePass.” Then data were generated for each scene for each direction at 3 levels of difficulty for a total of 12 repeat-pass images. For “RepassEasy” the objective change detection fish pits are placed, all regions of the seafloor are held static, and sensor trajectory from “ReferencePass” is reused. This level is intended to test just the detection aspect of consumers’ change detection approach. For “RepassMedium” the objective change detection fish pits are placed, roughness regions of the seafloor are static while sandy regions are allowed to diffuse, and sensor trajectory from “ReferencePass” is reused. The diffusion of sandy regions is intended to resemble combined hydrodynamics and smaller scale bioturbation (n.b. both scenes have the same diffusion rate, but were allowed to diffuse for different amounts of time; fish pits present in the reference image in rippled sand regions will diffuse, however those in the more stable roughness regions will not). This level is intended to test detection as well as false-alarm reduction or background change mitigation. For “RepassDifficult” the objective change detection fish pits are placed, roughness regions of the seafloor are static while sandy regions are allowed to diffuse, and sensor trajectory different from “ReferencePass” is used. The trajectory difference is either a slight heading (up to 1 degree) and/or baseline offset (up to 0.5m vertical and 1.5m horizontal) however still with a straight path to permit simple reconstruction. This level is intended to test detection, false-alarm reduction or background change mitigation, as well as image alignment techniques.

3.4 Example Results

The intent of the dataset is to demonstrate and test the consumers’ image registration and change detection routines, and as such those data products are not provided. However the height maps can be differenced to identify all changes between the seafloor scenes. Additionally a few examples of rudimentary change detection results are provided here.

4 CONCLUSION

A dataset was generated for the development and testing of SAS based Change Detection algorithms. The seafloor relief utilized content generation techniques to generate realistic textures with representative temporal evolution. These scenes were rendered with a high-fidelity acoustic model to generate acoustic time-series data, analogous to a fielded system, which are suitable for coherent signal processing including synthetic aperture image reconstruction techniques. Several levels of difficulty were modeled to test various aspects of SAS based Chance Detection algorithms.
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